Introduction

The UGA Writing Center has had a productive and exciting year. Writing Center consultants have served students of all levels from all over UGA's campus in both traditionna bn-one consultations as well as workshops, presentations, and other ortog-many formats. Statistically, this academic year continued trends seen in previous years with a slight decline in spring use compared to fall use, due to a significantly lowernumber of Firstyear Composition courses being offered; however, this spring also saw higher levels of student satisfaction compared to fall, again, continuing trends from years past, and witnessed use increase compared to Spring 2014. Three of the Worthger's four imperson locations tend to serve locationspecific clientele: Park 66 works most often with Figure composition students, the MLC location with non FYC undergraduates, and the Science Library with international graduate students.

Park 17— the fourth in-person location—also called Firstear Composition Consulting, was a new addition this year, emerging from a committee ated renovation and staffaining program. The program is working to create a hybrid space specializing in newarweiting by crostraining Writing Center consultants and Emma lab workers in one another's fields. This year, Park 117 struggled to find a unique clientele, although we have worked hard to publicize it. Consultants emphasized it in their promotional clasroom visits, one consultant made and distributed flyers advertising it, and it was discussed in the Firstear Composition Guide. Physically, 117 resembles a computer lab and lacks the usual arrangement of a UGA Writing Center location, so potential is lighted in Summer 2015, and we hope that the presence of a Writing Center location. Park 117 will be renovated in Summer 2015, and we hope that the new, more flexible, more inviting space will be more conducive to Writing Center consultations. Additionally, the current and incoming Writing Center Assistant Directors, along with the Assistant Director of Firstyear Composition are currently working on a rebranding effort for 117 that would draw a clientele interested in working on specific issues in research and meeting writing.

Overall, the Writing Center has continued its long ning traditions of working with a large and diverse clientele on issues of content, development, and style.

End of Year Filled, Unfilled, and No-Show Sessions

The End of Year Session sless show the number of Filled, Unfilled and No Show sessions for Summer 2014, Fall 2014, and Spring 2015. As mentioned previously, spring utilization figures are always lower than fall figures, but the Spring 2015 utilization rate improved on the previous by around ten percent. We are extremely pleased with the improvement and credit it to several changes since Spring 2014.

1. In Spring 2014, students frequently cancelled appointments just a few minutes before the appointments were scheduled to begin. Theastminute cancellations wasted consultants' time,

¹ Spring 2014 had 1,982 filled sessions and 10**5hw** appointments out of a total 3,363 available sessions, or a 62.06% utilization rate. Last year's report miscalculated the total utilization percentage because of an error in simple arithmetic.

prevented other students from taking the newee appointments, and were listed in the system as unused appointments. Not infrequently, a day would display as completely booked in the morning and half-empty in the evening because of lastinute cancellations. This spring, we limited cancellations to twelve hours or more before the appointment's scheduled start time. This change essentially eliminated last-minute cancellations, although it also resulted nore no show appointments (clients' accounts are locked after two neshow appointments to prevent abuse of the scheduling system).

- 2. Every semester, the Assistant Director invites instructors to request promotional class visits from Writing Center constants, and these proved extremely popular this past year. In the fall, consultants visited twenty seven classrooms, reaching almost six hundred students (assuming twenty two students per class). In the spring, consultants visited twenty six classrooms several large lecture classes outside of the English department. These information sessions, combined with the distribution of Writing Center business cards (generously donated by consultant Megan Morgan), certainly helped boost Writing Center attendance.
- 3. The Writing Center Outreach Initiative has doubtlessly contributed to the Writing Center's increased visibility. Although not all consultants participate in the Outreach Initiative (it is encouraged, though not mandatory), those who did helpedomote the Writing Center in different departments. Some consultants created promotional flyers and distributed them around campus; other consultants hailing from outside of the English department worked to inform their departments of the Writing Center's services; and the Assistant Director conducted workshops and informational sessions for various graduate and undergraduate classes as well as visiting the UGA Writing Fellows group and promoting the Writing Center.

Park Hall			
30-minute Sessions			
	Summer 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
Filled Sessions*	154	1300	1429
Unfilled Sessions	28	228	538
No Show Sessions**	17	123	119
Percent Filled	85.79%	86.27%	74.36%
Totals	199	1651	2086

^{*}Filled sessions include rathow appointments, since consultants can hetp other clients during these sessions.

**The analyzing software does not differentiate between-show sessions that are 30 minutes or 60 minutes long in terms of counting the number of-show sessions, i.e., a 60 inute no-show session is counted asone, not two 30 minute sessions; however, the system does take the time difference into account for overall session usage. Thus, the percent filled, which is calculated with the system using time rather than session numbers, is the correct figure, and to the presented here are slightly lower in number than should be.

Science Library 30-minute Sessions		
	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
Filled Sessions*	346	247
Unfilled Sessions	98	141
No Show Sessions	20	12
Percent Filled	79.67%	65.61%
Totals	464	400

Miller Learning Center 30-minute Sessions			
	Fall 2014	Spring 2015	
Filled Sessions*	278	146	
Unfilled Sessions	80	85	
No Show Sessions	26	9	
Percent Filled	91.86%	66.27%	
Totals	384	240	

Online Consultations 60-minute Sessions		
	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
Filled Sessions*	20	23
Unfilled Sessions	19	19
No Show Sessions	6	6
Percent Filled	66.67%	54.76%
Totals	39	48

All Locations			
30-minute Sessions		T =	
	Summer 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015
Filled Sessions	154	1964	1868
Unfilled Sessions	28	444	802
No Show Sessions	17	169	152
Percent Filled	85.79%	82.77%	71.58%
Totals	199	2577	2,822 sessions

Visits Per Client

The Visits Per Client tables show the number of times each individual client visited the Writing Center.* The majority ofclients visited the Writing Center only once, but in Park 66, our largest location, nearly half of clients returned more than once. A dedicated minority returned almost every week. In some cases, consultants encouraged these clients (especially intermatistudents seeking help with grammar and idiom) to have confidence in their editing abilities and only visit the Center with specific issues or content questions.

Visits Per Client: Summer 2014

	Park 66
Visited Once	28 (48.28%)
Visited Twice	16 (27.59%)
Visited Three Times	3 (5.17%)
Visited Four Times	2 (3.45%)
Visited Five Times	0
Visited Six Times	0
Visited Seven Times	0
Visited Eight Times	1 (1.72%)
Visited Nine Times	0
Visited 10+ Times**	1 (1.72%)

^{*}These figures are all slightlywer than they should be because the software only records multiple visits by a client visiting a single site. It is common for students to visit more than Writing Center location.

^{**}This figure includes "Desk Duty" sessions: recurring appointments given once every three hours or so. Consultants on desk duty greet clients, help them find their consultants, work on outreach initiatives, and take walkin appointments. Desk shifts are made in the Assistant Director's name and so skew numbers somewhat.

Visits Per Client: Fall 2014

	Park 66	Science Library 201	MLC 302	Park 117	Online
Visited Once	332 (56.75%)	110 (74.32%)	90 (70.87%)	102 (72.34%)	18 (78.26%)
Visited Twice	100 (17.09%)	12 (8.11%)	9 (7.09%)	16 (11.35%)	1 (4.35%)
Visited Three Times	40 (6.84%)	4 (2.7%)	2 (1.57%)	8 (5.67%)	0
Visited Four Times	27 (4.62%)	3 (2.03%)	1 (0.79%)	0	0
Visited Five Times	7 (1.2%)	1 (0.68%)	0	1 (0.71%)	0
Visited Six Times	4 (0.68%)	1 (0.68%)	0	1 (0.71%)	0
Visited Seven Times	2 (0.34%)	2 (1.35%)	2 (1.57%)	0	0
Visited Eight Times	2 (0.34%)	0	2 (1.57%)	0	0
Visited Nine Times	3 (0.51%)	0	1 (0.79%)	0	0
Visited 10+ Times*	9 (1.54%)	1 (0.68%)	2 (1.57%)	1 (0.71%)	0

Visits Per Client: Spring 2015

	Park 66	Science Library 201	MLC 302	Park 117	Online
Visited Once	278(53.36%)	73 (69.52%)	65 (78.31%)	41 (61.19%)	6 (40%)
Visited Twice	94 (18.04%)	16 (15.24%)	7 (8.43%)	12 (17.9%)	2 (13.33%)
Visited Three Times	51 (9.79%)	5 (4.76%)	2 (2.41%)	2 (2.99%)	1 (6.67%)
Visited Four Times	20 (3.84%)	2 (1.9%)	2 (2.41%)	0	1 (6.67%)
Visited Five Times	9 (1.73%)	0	0	0	0
Visited Six Times	7 (1.34%)	1 (0.95%)	0	0	0
Visited Seven Times	2 (0.38%)	1 (0.95%)	0	1 (1.49%)	0
Visited Eight Times	3 (0.58%)	1 (0.95%)	0	0	0
Visited Nine Times	6 (1.15%)	0	0	0	0
Visited 10+ Times*	5 (0.96%)	2 (1.9%)	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.49%)	0

Clients' Preferred Times

The Clients' Preferred Times tables show the most popularly scheduled times of day, days of the week, and months of the year for each location during each semester. Peak timesdefered significantly by the availability of consultants, particularly in the Science Library and MLC locations. Generally speaking, clients seem to prefer the late afternoon hours: 1:00P\structure{1000}\text{toOPM} repeatedly proves the most popular time period. Much likeast year, October is the busiest month in the fall, and April is the busiest in the spring. Appointments seem to cluster in the middle of the week, probably due in part to consultants' preferences for midweek work shifts.

Statistics for Summer 2014 and the Consultations have not been included since the available hours were so limited as to be useless for showing student preferences.

Preferred Times: Fall 2014

	Park 66	Science Library	MLC 302	Park 117*
Peak Times of	10:00AM- 12:00;	1:00PM- 3:00;	6:00PM-8:00	11:00AM- 12:00;
Day	1:00PM-3:00	9:30AM-11:30		1:00PM- 2:00
Day of Week	Wednesday (25%)	Thursday (40%);	Monday (52%);	Monday (31%);
	Tuesday (24%)	Wednesday (37%)	Tuesday (33%)	Wednesday (26%)
Month of Year	October (33%)	October (33%); September (31%)	. ,	October (34%); September (28%)

Spring 2015

	Park 66	Science Library	MLC 302	Park 117*
Peak Times of Day	1:00PM- 3:30; 10:00AM- 11:30	1:30PM- 2:30; 3:00PM- 4:00	6:00PM-7:00	11:00AM- 11:30; 1:00PM- 1:30
Day of Week	Thursday (23%); Wednesday (22%)	Thursday (42%); Tuesday (33%)	Monday (51%)	Thursday (25%); Friday (24%)
Month of Year	April (30%); February (29%)	February (31%); April (30%)	February (32%); March (28%)	April (34%); March (27%

^{*}As part of the Emmaab/Writing Center hybridization project, consultants staffing this location were allotted half of their designated Writing Center hours as "Flex Time," intended to be used for software training and content development. These were kept in the system assista appointments with the lab's director, Dr. Lisa Bolding. Because of the way they were scheduled, Flex hours will skew clients' preferred times of day and days of week significantly.

Clients' Standings

These tables show our clients' areas. As one meghect, Park 66 sees more traffic from Firetar Composition students, the Science Library serves the highest percentage of international graduate students, and the MLC sees the highest percentage of the foundard undergraduate students. Park 117, as discussed arlier, is still seeking its niche. Three of the foundard Writing Center locations serve a target demographic that appears to selelect by location.

Clients' Standings: Fall 2014

	Park 66	Science Library 201	MLC 302	Park 117	Online Consultations
Blank	3 (0.5%)	1 (0.7%)	1 (0.9%)	0	0
English 1101	179 (34%)	16 (11.9%)	23 (21.1%)	36 (27.9%)	1 (5.3%)
English 1102/3	172 (32%)	24 (17.9%)	22 (20.2%)	27 (20.9%)	2 (10.5%)
English Int'l Graduate	5 (0.9%)	9 (6.7%)	4 (3.7%)	4 (3.1%)	2 (10.5%)
English Int'l Undergraduate	4 (0.7%)	0	1 (0.9%)	1 (0.8%)	0
Other English Graduate	4 (0.7%)	2 (1.5%)	1 (0.9%)	5 (3.9%)	0
Other English Undergraduate	19 (3.6%)	4 (3.0%)	2 (1.8%)	2 (1.6%)	0
Other Graduate	21 (4.0%)	14 (10.4%)	8 (7.3%)	11 (8.5%)	4 (21.1%)
Other Int'l Graduate	38 (7.2%)	32 (23.9%)	20 (18.3%)	21 (16.3%)	5 (26.3%)
Other Int'l Undergraduate	8 (1.5%)	3 (2.2%)	3 (2.8%)	2 (1.6%)	0
Other Undergraduate	73 (13.9%)	29 (21.6%)	24 (22.0%)	20 (15.5%)	5 (26.3%)

Clients' Standings: Spring 2015

	Park 66	Science Library 201	MLC 302	Park 117	Online Consultations
Blank	0	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.6%)	1 (1.8%)	0
English 1101	102 (21.5%)	10 (10.0%)	14 (18.2%)	11 (19.3%)	0
English 1102/3	174 (36.6%)	15 (14.9%)	12 (15.6%)	18 (31.6%)	1 (10%)
English Int'l Graduate	3 (0.6%)	6 (5.9%)	3 (3.9%)	0	1 (10%)
English Int'l Undergraduate	2 (0.4%)	2 (2.0%)	0	1 (1.8%)	0
Other English Graduate	6 (1.3%)	2 (2.0%)	2 (2.6%)	5 (8.8%)	0
Other English Undergraduate	23 (4.8%)	3 (3.0%)	5 (6.5%)	3 (5.3%)	0
Other Graduate	27 (5.7%)	18 (17.8%)	7 (9.1%)	3 (5.3%)	3 (30%)
Other Int'l Graduate	38 (8.0%)	24 (23.8%)	14 (18.2%)	8 (14.0%)	1 (10%)
Other Int'l Undergraduate	9 (1.9%)	3 (3.0%)	4 (5.2%)	2 (3.5%)	0
Other Undergraduate	91 (19.2%)	17 (16.8%)	14 (18.2%)	5 (8.8%)	4 (40%)

Clients' Home or First Languages

The Clients' Home or First Languages table shows the Writing Center's clients' first languages (calculated by unique client registrations).

Fall 2014

Language	Park 66	Science Library	MLC 302	Park 117	Online Consultations
English	74.3%	48.5%	54.1%	53.5%	42.1%
Chinese	12.9%	23.1%	24.8%	28.7%	15.8%
Korean	4.6%	16.4%	11.0%	7.0%	31.6%
Spanish	0.8%	0.7%	0	0	0
Japanese	1.0%	1.5%	0.9%	0	0
French	0.4%	0	0	0	0
German	0	0	0	0.8%	0
Arabic	0.2%	0.7%	0	0.8%	0
Portuguese	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	5.3%
Russian	0	0	0	0	0
Other	5.1%	8.2%	8.3%	8.5%	5.3%
Blank	0.2%	0	0	0	0

Spring 2015

Language	Park 66	Science Library	MLC 302	Park 117	Online Consultations
English	72.6%	44.0%	48.1%	56.1%	50.0%
Chinese	13.1%	22.8%	23.4%	19.3%	20.0%
Korean	6.9%	17.8%	15.6%	14.0%	10.0%
Spanish	1.3%	1.0%	2.6%	1.8%	0
Japanese	0.6%	0	2.6%	0	0
French	0	0	0	0	0
German	0	0	0	0	0
Arabic	0.6%	0	0	3.5%	0
Portuguese	0.2%	2.0%	0	0	0
Russian	0.2%	0	0	0	0
Other	4.2%	12.9%	7.8%	5.3%	20.0%
Blank	0.2%	0	0	0	0

Client Satisfaction: "I Would Rate This Session..."

The first Client Satisfaction table shows student responses to the question "I would rate this session...". Students had overwhelmingly positive responses to their consultations, wittofaiegative reponses barely registering.

Although overall attendance decreased in Spring 2015, survey results were significantly better. In general, Spring 2015 was a semester of "quality over quantity."

	Summer 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015	Summer/Fall/ Spring Average
Excellent	17 (76%)	178 (57%)	212 (74%)	65.75%
Very Good	3 (14%)	82 (26%)	53 (19%)	22.29%
Good	1 (5%)	29 (9%)	13 (5%)	6.95%
Fair	1 (5%)	12 (4%)	3 (1%)	2.58%
Poor	0	6 (2%)	3 (1%)	1.45%
Unacceptable	0	4 (1%)	2 (1%)	0.97%

Client Satisfaction: "I Will Return to the Center"

The second Client Satisfaction table shows student responses to the question "I will return to the center."

	Summer 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015	Summer/Fall/ Spring Average
Yes	19 (90%)	289 (93%)	262 (92%)	92.23%
Maybe	2 (10%)	11 (4%)	22 (8%)	5.66%
No	0	11 (4%)	2 (1%)	2.10%

Client Satisfaction: "I Will Recommend the Center"

The third Client Satisfaction table shows student responses to the question "I will recommend the center." Spring 2015 shows significant growth over Fall 2014.

	Summer 2014	Fall 2014	Spring 2015	Summer/Fall/ Spring Average
Yes	19 (90%)	283 (91%)	271 (95%)	92.72%
Maybe	2 (10%)	19 (6%)	12 (4%)	5.33%
No	0	9 (3%)	3 (1%)	1.94%